THE PATIENT-PHYSICIAN RELATIONSHIP IN THE FACE OF ONCOLOGICAL DISEASE: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON THE EMOTIONAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL REACTIONS OF PATIENTS AND PHYSICIAN

DE LUCA ROSSELLA*, DORANGRICCHIA PATRIZIA*, GUARNACCIA CINZIA**, LO COCO GIANLUCA***, CICERO GIUSEPPE***
‘Department of Surgical, Oncological and Oral Sciences, University of Palermo, Palermo - “Parisian Laboratory of Social Psychology (LAPPS), University of Paris 8 Vincennes Saint-Denis, Paris - ”Department of Psychology, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy

ABSTRACT

The physician-patient relationship is daily destabilized by emotional reactions and psychic defenses that cancer arises in the two partners. Continued scientific and technological progresses which were reached by medicine in recent years, and particularly oncologic clinical discoveries, increased the chance of not only survival but also healing. Nevertheless, cancer diagnosis is still a hard existential text that destabilizes everyday life, all the psychic and relational balance, inevitably causing a psychological and social change not only in the patient who is affected but also into the wide social network around him (family, friends, doctors, healthcare team...). The aim of this review is to understand how problems, feelings, emotions, distresses or defense mechanisms could garble the relation and the communication dynamics between physician and patients and then prejudicing the efficacy of oncologic therapeutic compliance. Pubmed and Scopus were searched, using strings related to “cancer”, “physician-patient relations”, “burn-out”, “compliance”, and “communication”, identifying literature published from 2000 to January 2015. Extracted papers were assessed for their relevance (10 of 412 papers initially reviewed). Results indicate that a good and empathetic relationship between physician and patient were related to good therapeutic adherence. In particular, a good physician-patient relation maximizes the impact of clinical therapies and reduces psychophysical implications.
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Introduction

The Cancer diagnosis, as Cianfarini (2007)1 affirmed, often arrives like “a bolt from the blue” that puts a strain on the search for a relational continuity and is substantiated such an extremely difficult time which nobody is prepared for. It represents a very stressful event for both patient and physician, albeit with a different emotional: for the patient it represents an existential challenge that destabilizes all his own certainties and his life’s features like, for example, the relationship with his body, with his feelings and the meaning given up to them, to suffering, disease and death2. On the other hand, instead, physician feels suffocated by the unremitting requests of patients and the responsibilities he is not sometimes able to hold up because of his own personal, technical and scientific limits with consequent frustrations and demotivation3. This confirms the truth of results of many researches4-9, that in recent years showed how to consider the cancer in its own complexity is important, not only being limited to the analysis of biological factors, but considering it as a disease involving both psyche and body.

Therefore, it requires a multidisciplinary approach that is able to assess its different features and implications. A good physician-patient relationship depends on the physician’s ability to demonstrate a clinical expertise10 that is not limited only
to know and to be able to do but consists in the ability to be able to be in the relationship, to care and aid a human being in the totality of his person, to be able to understand, without colluding, the patient’s feelings and thoughts in order to give him again this sympathy, to be able to have an empathic behavior\(^{(11)}\). Listening and empathic communication, in fact, can help the physician to plan with his patient a care pathway that could progressively fit to his needs\(^{(12)}\). However, these empathic abilities can be altered by oncologic disease, shattering the balance and relational dynamics that were established between physician and patient. This happens because most of the time cancer put both the physician and patient in front of their inner frailties and emotions that are sometimes so strong that they are not able to face with\(^{(13)}\).

**Material and methods**

In this review, we wanted to analyze the main issues that can affect this relationship, like the difficulty by the physician in communicating an unlucky diagnosis, reactions and defenses implemented by the patient and his physician in an advanced disease, in order to summarize the latest international studies and understand which may be the adaptive mode that could promote the natural activation of the adaptation process to oncologic disease.

**Search Strategy**

We consulted Pubmed and Scopus databases, to gather published papers on problems, feelings, emotions, distresses or defense mechanisms that, after a cancer diagnosis, could alter relationship between physician and patients, using as search string “Cancer” AND physician-patient relationship AND [“Communication” OR “Burn-out” OR “compliance”] and prefixing a time interval from 2000 to January 2015.

For Pubmed and Scopus the search was limited by language (all identified articles had to be in English), by methodology (the study had to be either an empirical study, an experimental replication, a follow-up study, a quantitative study, a prospective study, a retrospective study, a quantitative study, or a treatment outcome/randomized clinical trial and by sample (human subjects).

This search allowed us to find 412 abstracts/titles, of these we identified 50 relevant papers but only 10 of which drew attention to the conceptualization of the emotional and psychological reactions of patients and physicians. The other papers were excluded from the analysis because, despite associated to the keywords, they were not actually related to the aspects.

**Inclusion assessment**

A paper had to meet the following criteria to be admitted:

- empirical literature published in scientific journals between 2000 and January 2015;
- use of a self-report assessment of psychological outcome variable such as coping strategies, anxiety, depression, personal well-being, work engagement, burn-out and quality life;
- published in English.

**Results**

This analysis allowed us to identify major and recurring themes, such as the communication dilemma, the defense and emotions in cancer patient, the physician’s emotional experience, in particular the burn-out syndrome, which will be developed in the following paragraphs. The characteristics of reviewed papers are shown in Table 1.

**Cancer diagnosis and the communication dilemma**

In this paragraph, we have chosen to summarize five studies (four clinical research and one review) to examine the difficulties of health care professionals to communicate bad news during the course of the disease, such as when cancer recurs or when palliative or hospice cares are indicated.

Over the years, many studies and researches\(^{(14-17)}\) tried to find a possible answer to the strong dilemma, which arose in oncology about need, or not to communicate an unlucky diagnosis to the patient.

In this regard, Baile et al. studies\(^{(18)}\) show that, though sometimes the wall of silence can serve patient to defend himself from his malaise, in other circumstances silence instead could be inferred to him to be in a situation of much more severe disease than the reality. Therefore, it is more appropriate physician properly informs the patient about his own disease condition and course, in order to avoid producing false beliefs in him. The communication of diagnosis does not have to be limited to a single act that provides only the informative aspect and should be carried out in a few minutes, but, as
reported in Pascali et al. article (19), should be characterized as a process which takes place gradually over time and whose content must be related from time to time to the uniqueness. The authors emphasize how often the time of the communication of cancer diagnosis represents a very stressful event for both patient and physician, albeit with a different emotional load. In fact, in the patient is found a disruption of everyday life, caused by the treatments and consequent side effects, and a strong psychological crisis, deriving from the disease and threat that lies ahead for the future. The physician instead, in front of this situation, cannot disregard all that is behind this disease, in terms of emotional relationships, symbolism of life and death, links and therapeutic possibilities.

The Kaplan’s article (20) allows to understand how the physicians can support the patient in a constructive, empathetic manner where delivering, bad news. In particular, Kaplan, based on the Baile and Buckman’s theories (14), explains that the oncology team has four goals in breaking the news to patients:

- a) learn what he/she already knows about the situation and determine her readiness to hear the news,
- b) provide clear information tailored to her needs and desire to know,
- c) provide empathy and emotional support,
- d) develop a treatment plan that takes her wishes into account.

Kaplan indicates, as a useful strategy for accomplishing these goals, employs a six-step protocol to communicate bad news, knows as SPIKES. It is an acronym for presenting distress information in an organized manner to patient and families (20). SPIKES protocol is composed of six steps, like Setting (menthal and physical), Perception,
Invitation or Information, Knowledge, Empathy and Strategy or Summarize. These ones, if properly executed, will allow a good communication between physician and patient and the attainment of some important goals in this relationship, such as, for example, the collection of information about the patient, a clear communication about diagnosis and treatment, the active involvement of the patient in planning a personalized treatment.

Miyata, et al. (2005)\(^\text{21}\) conducted a general population survey in Japan to investigate people’s preferences on receiving this information. They noticed that there were no significant differences in respondents’ preferences according to the seriousness of the cancer. A disclosure policy of giving patients full details of their diagnosis and some information on prognosis can satisfy the preferences of most patients. The need for trust underlines the power imbalance between cancer patients and their oncologist. Therefore, the extent to which physicians should inform them of the diagnosis and prognosis poses a difficult decision in clinical settings. In fact, an inadequate communication does not allow developing a good psychological adjustment to disease and therapy, consequently increasing anxiety, depression and emotional stress.

In fact, as demonstrated by Ong et al (2000)\(^\text{22}\) in their study, patients’ global satisfaction was best predicted by information-giving by their doctor. In particular patients who received more information were satisfied than patients who received less information. Not surprisingly, explicit communication of doctors’ negative affect resulted in less patient satisfaction. Satisfaction (both visit-specific and global) was predicted by doctors’ socio-emotional behaviors and affect tone. This may increase the patient’s motivation to follow therapeutic indications, and then increase therapeutic compliance.

**Psychological and emotional reactions in cancer patient**

In this paragraph were considered three studies that examined psychological reactions in cancer patient.

The tumor puts emphasis on the concept of death as a concrete reality, makes clear the finiteness of life. Clinical experience, supported by various data coming from scientific studies\(^\text{23-26}\), showed that, when diagnosis is communicated, patient more frequently develops an attitude of existential shock. From this, the immediate search for an explanation of *why it has happened just to him* or *why it has happened just in this moment of his life* arises. This is finalized to the desperate attempt of the patient to reaffirm and regain his own life\(^\text{27}\).

In their study, Matsushita et al. (2005)\(^\text{27}\) underline that these and other questions invade the patient’s mind, producing in him a strong sense of anguish. This one has the function to mark an oppressive loss which originates some fears that are linked to the “limit” of life and self-sufficiency, like fear of losing his own psychophysical integrity, being rejected or refused, losing his own role in the family and being considered as a sick person that is unable to manage and control his life.

Schou et al. (2005)\(^\text{28}\) noticed that each person differently lives and manages the event “disease”, implementing more or less effective coping strategies that depend on different factors, first of all her own personality and adaptive capacities which are activated in front of new or negative life-events; the degree of aggressiveness of the disease, previous level of adaptation, the threat that oncologic disease represents against developmental goals, the presence or absence of an emotional support from people surrounding the patient during disease course). Cancer patients sometimes feel as if their time or future is subtracted and they live in a present devoid of meaning and value, prisoners of their own disease. This one, in fact, destabilizes daily life of the individual, as a multi-systemic disease that involves many interdependent levels at the same time: bodily, mental, emotional, family, social, cultural. At a physical level, body is set up as the first nucleus of personal identity that is it.

Even in the study of Matsushita et al. (2005)\(^\text{27}\), it has been noted that cancer and treatment’s effects, like physical mutilation, pain, nausea, hair loss or fatigue determine strong changes of body image. These changes may cause difficulties in the conduction of daily life, because of the need of help and limitations of the patient, which make him, as we have already said, dependent on others. All of this causes important consequences on a psychological, such as loss of safeties and a general instability while Fobair, Stewart et al. (2006)\(^\text{29}\) noticed that factors more affecting adaptation and acceptance of disease are limitations of freedom, changes of the body caused by treatments and surgery, relationships with others, particularly fear of their judgment for a body ravaged by the disease, of suffering and above all to lose their lives.

They underlined that what demoralized
patients more was not to be able to do what they usually do because of physical and psychological changes. First phases of the disease provoke emotional experience that we can find in most cancer patients, such as anger, bewilderment, anguish, fear, rejection. Subsequent steps are instead characterized by the elaboration of what happened and acceptance of the disease and however vary among people according to modality and duration. The individual is to face with a new and complex situation, adapt to a new condition, characterized by a loss in response of which he has to reorganize to find himself and re-establish a new balance.

The physician’s emotional experience: burnout syndrome

Following we decide to summarize three studies to understand the oncologists’ emotions.

As we have already affirmed, cancer involves both patient and physician, albeit with a different emotional burden. The physician is constantly exposed to psychologically difficult and stressful situations that he is not always able to properly manage. Taking care of patient, in fact, requires a great emotional involvement, abilities, professionalism and time, exposing the physician to very strong and prolonged stress levels. The oncologist, in fact, has every day to face on the one hand with pain, suffering and, sometimes, death, and on the other with the need to maintain high professional performances, trying not to be swayed by the patient’s feelings.

Scientific literature found from multiple sources that physicians encounter various problems in relating and communicating with cancer patients. This happens because cancer, regardless of its severity, leads men and women to reflect on life transience and their finitude. This situation is sometimes made more difficult by the difficult relationship with the colleagues, each closed in his own professionalism and unwilling to share experiences and expertise in a team work.

Guveli et al. (2015) noticed that, in front of these difficulties, the pressing demands of care that the patient’s body requires and discomfort inherent the relationship with him, physician finds himself in a very complex sense of not knowing what to do and not having adequate instruments to manage the contact with the patient, his family and the colleagues. An excessive involvement in emotional aspects of disease may cause destroying and crippling effects, transforming the physicians himself in a helpless sick. Authors underline that is necessary to monitor the psychological status of employees in oncology units to understand their job stress perceptions and to help them develop adaptive coping methods.

In their study, Russo et al (2014) noted that the feeling of well-being perceived by physician is closely related to a high level of confidence in their ability to face complex situations and manage and support the patient during disease course. Lack in self-confidence and inability to maintain a balance between work stress and emotional burden generated by the relationship with the patient may represent a great source of stress in the workplace and alter relational dynamics between physician and patient. All of these causes in the oncologist a high level of stress, which constantly recurring becomes chronic and may turn into a sense of estrangement, distance and emotional exhaustion, known as burnout syndrome. In these cases, as underline Kash et al (2000), in their research, medical care becomes more technical and patient care more complex, the problems of burnout become increasingly more relevant to the physical and emotional well-being as well as the morale of the medical staff. The physician needs appropriate help to avoid chafing because of guilt, confront his own sense of frustration and powerlessness and understand that in front of certain stages of life the main task is not to persist with therapeutic treatments, but to be able to accompany the patient in the last stages of his life guaranteeing a decent quality of life.

Discussion

In this review, we tried a summary to date of the different emotions to demonstrate how the relationship between physician and patients can be impregnated by the multiple emotions, fears and discomforts that cancer provokes in physician and patient. We preferred to investigate some of the macro-areas that influence physician-patient relationship, but it is clear that other psychological and demographic factors interfere and sometimes prejudice oncologic therapeutic compliance.

In this regard, it would be desirable to acquire, in the hospital, a multidisciplinary perspective that considers not only patient but also the entire social network that accompanies him during disease course. The interest in the whole system should remain during all various stages of disease course, in order to strengthen every day the physicians’
adaptive and professional skills, reduce psychological distress and try to reactivate in them the hope of being able to provide patients an adequate support. It is important to start psychoncology interventions that try to help overcome psychological distress, in particular specific interventions that help the physician to acquire the ability to communicate to patient clear and truthful information and to gather news about the sick, which may be useful to understand how he is informed about his state of health.\(^{(37-38)}\)

Literature states that reluctance to talk about the disease is generated by feelings of anger, impotence, docility and the inability to express and tolerate what this situation causes, paralyzing the individual and making him unable to relate to others. Furthermore, the physician must be helped to face emotions and fears that the patient raises in him. The need is to help physicians to understand that, only after being released from his own suffering, he must be able to provide patients an adequate support.

The psychological intervention is aimed in fact to recover the “human dimension” which helps physician to understand that he cannot just limit to cure a patient but he must acquire the ability to care him in the totality of his suffering, in order to start an emphatic relationship and create a space in which patient could feel admitted and accepted and reprocess his trauma.\(^{(40)}\) All of this shows that, in order to manage the stress of seriously or terminally ill, it is necessary the patients and physicians learn to manage their emotional stress and the emotional impact that cancer suffering may have on them.
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